

3. The Quantum Error Correction Conditions

Definition: Given $\mathcal{H} = (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$, a Quantum Error Correction Code (QECC) on \mathcal{H} is a sub-space $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{H}$ (the code space, with $|c\rangle \in \mathcal{C}$ codewords). We denote by $|i\rangle$ an (arbitrary, but fixed) basis of \mathcal{C} .

Definition: A wire model on \mathcal{H} is a CPTP map

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \sum E_\alpha \rho E_\alpha^\dagger; \quad \sum E_\alpha^\dagger E_\alpha = I$$

(i.e., error E_α occurs w/prob. $\text{tr}(E_\alpha^\dagger E_\alpha \rho)$, e.g. $E_\alpha \propto$ single-qubit Paulis.)

Definition: We say that a QECC \mathcal{C} can correct ²⁸²

for an error E if there exists a recovery map R , i.e. a CP map R such that

$$R(E(\rho)) = \rho \quad \forall \rho = |\tilde{\psi}\rangle\langle\tilde{\psi}|, |\tilde{\phi}\rangle\langle\tilde{\phi}| \in \mathcal{C}$$

(Note: This implies that R is trace-preserving on states supported on the image of \mathcal{C} under E , i.e., on states obtained by noise from a code state.)

Theorem (Quantum Error Correction Condition):

Given \mathcal{C} and $E(\cdot) = \sum E_\alpha \cdot E_\alpha^*$,

there exists a recovery R (i.e. \mathcal{C} corrects for E) if and only if

$$\langle \tilde{i} | E_\alpha^* E_\beta | \tilde{j} \rangle = c_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{ij} \quad \textcircled{*}$$

for some ONS $\{|\tilde{i}\rangle\}$ ($\langle \tilde{i} | \tilde{j} \rangle = \delta_{ij}$) of \mathcal{C} .

Lecture 2:

① Orthogonal states remain orthogonal (R cannot make states more orthogonal!)

② Environment learns nothing about state:

Shrinking:

$$\rho - \boxed{\quad} - E_\alpha^+ E_\alpha^-$$

$$|\alpha\rangle - \boxed{\quad} - |\alpha\rangle$$

$$\text{prob}(\alpha) = \langle \hat{i} | E_\alpha^+ E_\alpha^- | \hat{i} \rangle = c_{\alpha\alpha} \text{ indep. of } i;$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{prob}(\alpha) &= \left(\sum \bar{a}_i \langle \hat{i} | \right) E_\alpha^+ E_\alpha^- \left(\sum a_j | \hat{j} \rangle \right) \\ &= \sum |a_i|^2 c_{\alpha\alpha} \text{ indep. of } i. \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

'existence of $R \Rightarrow \otimes^4$:

Lemma: $\sum_{\tau} K_{\tau} |4\rangle \langle 4| K_{\tau}^+ = |4\rangle \langle 4| \quad \forall |4\rangle \in \mathcal{C}$

$$\Rightarrow K_{\tau} |4\rangle = a_{\tau} |4\rangle$$

with a_{τ} indep. of $|4\rangle$.

$$\text{Proof: } \sum_{\tau} k_{\tau} |4\rangle \langle 4| k_{\tau}^{\dagger} = |4\rangle \langle 4|$$

Choose any $|x\rangle$ s.t. $\langle x|4\rangle = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{\tau} \underbrace{\langle x|k_{\tau}|4\rangle \langle 4|k_{\tau}^{\dagger}|x\rangle}_{\geq 0} = \langle x|4\rangle \langle 4|x\rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x|k_{\tau}|4\rangle = 0 \quad \forall \tau$$

$$\Rightarrow k_{\tau}|4\rangle = a_{\tau}(|4\rangle)|4\rangle.$$

What if $a_{\tau}(|4\rangle)$ dep. on $|4\rangle$? Choose $|4_1\rangle, |4_2\rangle$ s.t. $a_{\tau}(|4_1\rangle) \neq a_{\tau}(|4_2\rangle)$. Then,

$$k_{\tau}(|4_1\rangle + |4_2\rangle) = a_{\tau}(|4_1\rangle)|4_1\rangle + a_{\tau}(|4_2\rangle)|4_2\rangle$$

$$\neq |4_1\rangle + |4_2\rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow a_{\tau}(|4\rangle) = a_{\tau}$$

$$\Rightarrow k_{\tau}|4\rangle = a_{\tau}|4\rangle. \quad \text{B}$$

$$\text{Let } Q(\cdot) = \sum R_j \circ R_j^{\dagger}.$$

$$\text{Then: } Q(E(|4\rangle \langle 4|)) = |4\rangle \langle 4| \quad \forall |4\rangle \in \mathcal{C}$$

Lemma

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_f E_\alpha |i\rangle = a_{f\alpha} |i\rangle \quad \forall |i\rangle \in \mathcal{C}$$

ONB $|i\rangle, |j\rangle$:

$$\Rightarrow \sum_j \langle i | E_\alpha^+ R_f^+ R_f E_\beta | j \rangle = \sum_j \bar{a}_{f\alpha} a_{f\beta} \langle i | j \rangle \\ =: C_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{ij}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle i | E_\alpha^+ \left(\underbrace{\sum_j R_f^+ R_f}_{= I \text{ on image of } \mathcal{C} \text{ under } E} \right) E_\beta | j \rangle = C_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{ij}$$

$= I$ on image of \mathcal{C} under E .

$$\Rightarrow \langle i | E_\alpha^+ E_\beta | j \rangle = C_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{ij}. \quad \square$$

" $\otimes \Rightarrow$ existence of R ":

Construct explicit recovery channel $R(\cdot) = \sum R_f \circ R_f^+$.

Step 1: Use gauge degree of freedom in E_α :

$$E(\rho) = \sum E_\alpha \rho E_\alpha^+ = \sum F_\alpha \rho F_\alpha^+$$

iff only if $F_\alpha = \sum_\alpha V_{\rho\alpha} E_\alpha$, Visometry.

Choose V s.t. $\sum_{\alpha\beta} V_{\epsilon\alpha}^* c_{\alpha\beta} V_{\epsilon\beta} = 1_\epsilon \delta_{\epsilon\epsilon}$ diagonal

$$\begin{aligned}
 \oplus \quad & \langle i | F_{\epsilon}^+ F_{\epsilon} / j \rangle = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \langle i | \bar{V}_{\epsilon \alpha} E_{\alpha}^+ E_{\beta} V_{\epsilon \beta} / j \rangle \\
 & = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \bar{V}_{\epsilon \alpha} V_{\epsilon \beta} \langle i | E_{\alpha}^+ E_{\beta} / j \rangle \\
 & = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \bar{V}_{\epsilon \alpha} V_{\epsilon \beta} c_{\alpha \beta} \delta_{ij} \\
 & = \lambda_{\epsilon} \delta_{\epsilon \epsilon} \delta_{ij}
 \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow Different errors F_{ϵ} can be destroyed by
a projective measurement!

Note that $\sum_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon} = \sum_{\epsilon} \underbrace{\langle i | F_{\epsilon}^+ F_{\epsilon} / i \rangle}_{=\lambda_{\epsilon}: \text{prob. of error } \epsilon} = \langle i | I / i \rangle = 1.$

Step 2: Recurse ϵ and undo error F_{ϵ} .

Want $R_f F_{\epsilon} / i \rangle = \sqrt{\lambda_{\epsilon}} \delta_{f \epsilon} / i \rangle !$

Choose $R_f := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{\epsilon}}} \sum_j / j X j / F_f^+ \xrightarrow{\text{prob. of error } F_{\epsilon}}$.

\circlearrowleft If $\lambda_{\epsilon} = 0$, then $R_f = 0$ is a solution.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow R_f F_{\epsilon} / i \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{\epsilon}}} \sum_j / j X j / \underbrace{F_f^+ F_{\epsilon} / i \rangle}_{=\lambda_{\epsilon} \delta_{f \epsilon} \delta_{ij}} = \sqrt{\lambda_{\epsilon}} \delta_{f \epsilon} / i \rangle \\
 &= \lambda_{\epsilon} \delta_{f \epsilon} \delta_{ij}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow R_\gamma F_\varepsilon |\hat{\psi}\rangle = \sqrt{\lambda_\varepsilon} \delta_{\gamma\varepsilon} |\hat{\psi}\rangle \quad \forall |\hat{\psi}\rangle \in \mathcal{C}$$

$$\Rightarrow Q(\mathcal{E}(|\hat{\psi}\rangle|\hat{\psi}|)) = \sum_{\gamma, \varepsilon} R_\gamma F_\varepsilon |\hat{\psi}\rangle |\hat{\psi}| |\hat{\psi}\rangle^T R_\gamma^T$$

$$= \sum_\varepsilon \lambda_\varepsilon |\hat{\psi}\rangle |\hat{\psi}| \quad \forall |\hat{\psi}\rangle \in \mathcal{C} \quad \square$$

Note: For any single-qubit error, we have (by definition)

$$E_\alpha = \sum_{k,s} w_{\alpha,k,s} \sigma_s^k \quad \begin{matrix} \leftarrow \\ \text{k'th Pauli method} \\ \text{on qubit s.} \end{matrix}$$

and $\langle i | \sigma_s^k \sigma_r^\ell | j \rangle \propto \delta_{ij} \Rightarrow \langle i | \tilde{T}_\alpha^+ \tilde{E}_P | j \rangle \propto \delta_{ij}$.

Thus: Error Correction Condition holds for Paulis
 \Rightarrow error correction condition holds for any single-qubit error!

In particular: A QECC which can correct for
depolarizing noise

$$E(p) = (1-p)\rho + \frac{p}{3} (x\rho x + y\rho y + z\rho z)$$

or any qubit is also robust against any
single-qubit error!

Corollary: To check for robustness against arbitrary single-qubit errors, it is sufficient to check the error encoded with

$$\{E_a\} \propto \{\mathbb{I}, X, Y, Z\}$$

\uparrow
E_a up to prefactors

The analogous result holds for k-qubit errors vs. k-qubit Paulis.

Exercise suggestion: Check q. error correction condition for 3-qubit & 9-qubit code!