

Problem 21: Ordering of controlled gates and measurements.

Consider $n + 1$ qubits, split into one qubit labeled A and n qubits B , and consider a controlled- U gate which is controlled by A and where U acts on B , and which acts on some initial state $|\psi\rangle$ (e.g. because it is part of a larger circuit). After applying the controlled- U gate, the control qubit A is measured in the computational basis.

Show that we can replace this circuit acting on $|\psi\rangle$ by one where we *first* measure the qubit A , and then apply U conditioned on the measurement outcome – i.e., we apply U only if the outcome was $|1\rangle$. (Differently speaking, we control the application of U by the *classical* measurement outcome.)

Explain how this can be generalized to circuits containing several controlled gates controlled by A . How early can we measure A ? What happens when the circuit also contains gates which act on A in a way where it is used other than as a control qubit (i.e. where the state of A in the computational basis is changed)?

Problem 22: The Bernstein-Vazirani algorithm.

The Bernstein-Vazirani algorithm is a variation of the Deutsch-Jozsa problem.

Suppose that we are given an oracle

$$U_f : |x\rangle|y\rangle \rightarrow |x\rangle|y \oplus f(x)\rangle ,$$

where $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, i.e. x is an n -qubit state and y a single qubit, and where we have the promise that $f = a \cdot x$ for some unknown $a \in \{0, 1\}^n$. The task is to determine a .

Show that the same circuit used for the Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm can also solve this problem, i.e., it can be used to find a with unit probability in one iteration.

Compare this to the number of classical calls to the function f required to determine a (either deterministically or with high probability).